RESEARCH ARTICLE



Morphological characterization and evaluation of variability in cultivated oats *Avena sativa* L. and *Avena byzantine* C. Koch.

KAZIU I*., KASHTA F**., CELAMI A*., SHEHU R*., ÇELA F*.

Abstract

The study was carried out to characterize 32 genotypes of oat based on morphological and quality characters. The data show thatthere isgenetic variation for almost all the traits. Thus, the lodging in the early stage is the same as that in the stage of maturity, but it is observed that the genotypes are different about this trait. To distinguish variation in the studied genotypes, the assessment was based on the division into five categories; 46.86% resulting lodging resistant (upright), 6.25% intermediate, while genotypes minor lodging, lodged and extremely lodged (all plants) representing 15.63% of each of them.

To trait the hairiness of leaf sheath (lower leaves), all genotypes are hairless category. For trait lemma color, they appear different genotypes where white - coffee resulting 34.37%, yellow 25%, yellow - brown 8.75% and brown 21.88%. To trait the hairiness of lemma, most ofgenotypesresultinghairless(87.5%), slightly pubescent 3.12% and moderately pubescent 9,37%. This descriptor is important to differentiate the two different species and all traits studied, can be utilized to select superior genotypes related to agronomic values. Genotypes that have a growth habit erect, the nature ofpanicle is erect and the presence of wax should be selected for abiotic stress tolerance.

Keywords: Genotype, oat, descriptors, variability, morphological characterization

1. Introduction

Oats, Avena sativa L is an important grain cultivated in different regions of the world along with wheat, maize, rice, barley and sorghum. It is usedandwidelyusedforhumanfoodandlivestock, butespeciallyasfodder. Cultivated varieties of oats are part of hekzaplloid type with 2n = 6x = 42 chromosomes originating from the union of three ancestral diploid genomes AA, CC, DD (Rines et al 2006).

The development of modern practices, optimization of natural resources and the selection of superior genotypes with desirable agronomic traits have increased yield of grain in recent decades. Oats considered a food source of protein, carbohydrates, fiber, vitamins and mineral elements, and compounds with beneficial effects on human health. (Peterson et al 2005).

The total oat production has decreased continuously, while the demand for it, as a human food has increased due to nutritional benefits of whole grain and content of b - glukonit. (Buerstmayr et al 2007). The yield of grain oat as a result of genetic improvement is up to 0.8

percent per year, depending on the country and genotype (Holland 1997). Oat genetic gain is lower than in other cereal species such as wheat and barley, which is attributed to the presence of undesirable traits in germplasm of oats as high sensitivity to disease (Stuthman 1995).

Better understanding of genetic control in connection with phenotypic changes of morphphysiological traits and qualitative-quantitative, should be seen as a fundamental step in genetic improvement programs. Assessment of genetic variability can be achieved using morphological measurements and phenotypic characterization (Greene et al 2008). Several studies clearly defined genetic control of important traits such as rust leaf (Martinelli et al 2009), sensitivity photoperiodic and flourishing (Locatelli et al 2008), tolerance to the toxicity of aluminum (Oliveira et al, 2005, Nava et al 2006), the main grain morphology (Cabral et al 2001), plant height (Marriott et al 1999) and the type of panicle (BERTAGNOLLI and Federizzi 1994).

^{*}ATTC of Fushë Krujë

^{**} Agricultural University of Tirana

The purpose of this study was the characterization of some accessions of oat to different morphological features and evaluation

of the variability of the collection available for proper use of germplasm.

2. Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted during 2014-2015 in the Center of Agricultural Technology Transfer Fushë Krujë. The study placed 32 domestic and foreign genotypes. The experiment is set up according to the randomized block scheme, with three replications. Observations were carried registered in 10 randomly selected plants of each genotype in three replications for twenty six morphological traits. Germplasm was characterized using descriptors oat by IPGR.

Traits of the study were: the growth habit, plant height, thickness of stem, the presence of hairs on the nodes, the angle of flag leaf to culm, the angle of leaves (other leaf than flag leaf) to culm, rigidity of flag leaf, rigidity of leaves (other than

3. Results and Discussion

The studyshows thatgenotypesshow changestoallthe morphological awiderangeof traitsstudied. The frequency distribution for twentysix morphologicalcharactersis presentedin Table 1. Regarding growth habit, most genotypes are erect (81.25% or 26 accessions) followed by semi prostrate (12.5% accessions) and prostrate result 6.25% or 2 accessions. **I**t is importantthe selection ofgenotypeswithconvenienttraitof the onclimatic habitgrowth, depending and soilconditions. The trendselection has evolved over the centuriesthanks topractical experience. Plantswitherect habit growthcan plantedmoredenselyand havebetter circulationofairbetween reducingthe themby possibility of the spread offungal diseasesetc.

Intermsofmanagementof the plantit can alsohelpin determining thenutritionalareafor eachplant, easy harvestandfeasibilityto calculatethe volume of chemicals praying.

Based onthe characterization of plant height, 40.63% of plants genotypes are tall or 13 accessions, short 34.37% or 11 accessions and medium 25% or 8 accessions.

flag leaf), hairiness of leaf sheath (lower leaves), the presence of hairs on the leaf margin (leaf below flag leaf), length of second leaf from the top, the width of the second leaf from the top, shape of panicle, erectness of panicle, erectness of spikelet, the presence of wax in panicle, color lemma, the presence of hair to the lemma, covering the grain (kernel covering), the presence of awn, lodging at immature stage,lodging at mature stage, the relation between the maturity of grains and straw, the brightness of the mature seed and the total number of tillers. For all morphological traits studied the frequency distribution are calculated to draw the necessary conclusions.

Normally,the choice of varieties favors medium plants, because tall plants cannot respond to inputs and are pronetologed, while the medium plant better respond to the used inputs and are more tolerant tolodging.

At the sametime, medium heightplantswill provide enough for a gevalue, while those short carrespond well to use dinputs, not lodged, but will not provide sufficient quantities of forage, then do not justify the used inputs.

Forindicatorstemthickness,

mediumclasspredominates (56.25%) followed bythin (25%) and the nature of thick (18.75%). Eventhis indicatoris favored those varieties that have medium thick as they are more tolerant of the lodging.

Totraitthe presence of hairiness in the nodes, all genotypes are glabrous category.

Evenindicatorsforangle of flag leaf to culmandangle to culm of leaves (other than flag leaf), allgenotypesarethe categoryangleless than 90°.

Table 1.Frequency distribution of characters in oat genotypes

	e 1.Frequency distribution of character	<u> </u>	f	0/-
Nr	Character	Class	f	%
	Growth habit	Erect	26	81.25
1		Semi prostrate	4	12.5
		Prostrate	2	6.25
	Plant height	Short	11	34.37
2		Medium	8	25
		Tall	13	40.63
		Thin	8	25
3	Stem thickness	Intermediate	18	56.25
		Thick	6	18.75
	Node hairiness	Glabrous	32	100
		Slightly pubescent	0	0
4		Moderately pubescent	0	0
			0	0
	-	Highly pubescent		100
_	Angle of flag leaf to culm	Acute (< 90°)	32	
5		Intermediate (about 90°)	0	0
		Obtuse (> 90°)	0	0
	Angle to culm of leaves (other than flag leaf)	Acute (< 90°)	32	100
6		Intermediate (about 90°)	0	0
		Obtuse (> 90°)	0	0
		Bent	3	9.38
7	Rigidity of flag leaf	Slightly bent	18	56.25
	Rigidity of flag leaf	Stiff	11	34.37
		Twisting left	32	100
8	Twisting of leaves		$\begin{vmatrix} 32 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$	0
		Twisting right		
		Glabrous	32	100
9	Hairiness of leaf sheath (lower leaves)	Slightly pubescent	0	0
,		Moderately pubescent	0	0
		Highly pubescent	0	0
	Hairiness of leaf margin (leaf billow)	Glabrous	25	78.12
10		Slightly pubescent	7	21.88
10		Moderately pubescent	0	0
		Highly pubescent	0	0
		Short	5	15.63
11	Length of second leaf from top	Medium	11	34.37
11			16	50
		Long		
	Width of second leaf from top	Narrow	9	28.13
12		Medium	11	34.37
		Broad	12	37.5
	Lodging at immature stage	Upright (all plants)	15	46.86
		Minor lodging	5	15.63
13		Intermediate	2	6.25
		Lodged	5	15.63
		Extremely lodged (all plants)	5	15.63
		Upright (all plants)	15	46.86
	Lodging at mature stage	Minor lodging	5	15.63
14		Intermediate	2	6.25
14				15.63
		Lodged	5	
		Extremely lodged (all plants)	5	15.63
15	Shape of panicle	Unilateral	1	3.12
1.0	Shape of painter	Equilateral	31	96.88
	Erectness of panicle	Drooping	0	0
16		Semi-erect	0	0
		Erect	32	100
	Angle of panicle branches to the main axis	Extremely low (panicle branches erect)	3	9.38
		Acute	11	34.37
17		Intermediate	15	46.87
		Obtuse	3	9.38
18	Erectness of spikelets	Drooping	32	100
		Semi – erect	0	0
		Erect	0	0
10	Wayings of the periods	Absent	0	0
19	Waxiness of the panicle	Present	32	100
	Relation between maturity of grains and straw	Grain ripe before straw	32	100
20		Simultaneous	0	0
20		Straw ripe before grain	0	0
		Suaw tipe before grain	U	U

Kaziu et al 2016

		White to brawn	11	34.37
21	Lemma color	Yellow	8	25
		Yellow to brawn	6	18.75
		Brawn	7	21.88
		Black	0	0
		Other	0	0
	Hairiness of lemma	Glabrous	28	87.5
22		Slightly pubescent	1	3.13
22		Moderately pubescent	3	9.37
		Highly pubescent	0	0
23	Kernel covering	Grains covered	32	100
23		Grains naked	0	0
	Awnedness	No awns	21	65.62
2.4		Weak awns	1	3.13
24		Strong awns	10	31.25
25	Grain shedding at maturity	Low	2	6.25
		Intermediate	16	50
		High	14	43.75
	Number of tillers	Few	5	15.62
26		Intermediate	18	56.25
		Many	9	28.13

For indicator the rigidity of flagleaf, genotypespresent variability and the majority of genotypes are slightly bent category (56.25%), followed by the stiff category (34.37%) and bent (breaking) 9.38%.

Allgenotypes, totraitthe presenceof hairsonthe leaf sheath, were glabrous category. For indicator, presence of hairsonthe leaf margin, glabrous category predominates (78.12%),

followed byslightly pubescentcategory(21.88%). Forthe lengthof the second leaffrom top, under theflagleaf, the genotypespresentsignificantvariability.

Mostgenotypesarelongleaves(50%) followed bythosewithmedium leaves(34.37%) and shortleaves15.63%.

Even the indicator the width of the leaf, has variability between genotypes. With broad leaves results 37.5% followed by that medium (34.37%) and narrow 28.13%. Both indicators are important in selection job, as they are directly related to leaf surface (surface assimilation), and consequently with grain or forage production.

4. Conclusions

Based on,the characterization, relation between maturity of grains and strawallanalyzedgenotypesresulting inthe grainripe before straw.

The indicatorlemma colorhavethis categorization: genotypeswith the colorwhite tobrown11or34.37%, yellow 8or25%, yellow

For indicator lodging, as in the immature stage and mature, no change in genotype, while there are differences between genotypes. Thus upright category is predominant (46.86%) or 15 numbers (accessions), followed by minor lodging, lodging and extremely lodged the same value (15.63%) or five genotypes each, and 2 genotypes or 6.25% are intermediate lodging category.

The shape of panicle resulted to be, equilateral 96.88% or 31 genotypes and unilateral one genotype or 12.3%, whereas the erectness of panicle for all genotypes appears to be the erect panicle.

For indicator the angle of panicle branches to the main axis, the genotypes represent the differences between them. The assessment is made in four categories, of which 3 numbers or 9:38% are extremely low angle (panicle branches erect), 11 genotypes or 34.37% with acute angle, 15 numbers or 46.87% intermediate angle, and 3 numbers or 9:38% obtuse angle. All genotypes, for erectness of spikelet are drooping category. Indicator for the waxiness of the panicle, all genotypes had the presence of wax in panicle.

tobrown6or18.75%

andbrown7genotypesor21.88% andnone of the genotypesdid notcolorwhiteor black.

For indicator presence of hairs on the basis of grain (lemma) most genotypes were glabrous, 87.5% or 28 numbers, a genotype was slightly pubescent and 3 with moderately pubescent.

None of genotypes was highly pubescent. This indicator is important in the determination of the species, genotypes with the presence of hairs on the basis of grain belong to byzantine species.

Basedon, the indicatorthe presence of awn, in the most genotypes dominates the category without awns 65.62% or 21 numbers, agenotype with weak awns and 10 genotypes with strong awns or 31.25%.

Forindicatorgrains shedding at maturity 6.25% or 2 numbers arelowshedding,intermediate shedding16numbers or50%, and high shedding14numbers or43.75%.

5. References

- 1. Anonymous 1985. Descriptors for oats (*Avena sativa* L). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- 2. Bertagnolli PF and Federizzi LC 1994. Genetica do tipo de panicula em aveia (*Avena sativa* L). Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira **29:** 1237-1242.
- 3. Buerstmayr H, Krenn N, Stephan U, Grausgruber Hand Zechner E 2007. Agronomic performance and quality of oat (*Avena sativa* L) genotypes of worldwide origin produced under central European growing conditions. Field Crops Research **101**: 341-351.
- 4. Cabral CB, Milach SC, Pacheco MT and Crancio LA 2001. Heranca de caracteres morfologicos de graos primarios em aveia. Pesquisa Agropecu ria Brasileira **36:** 1133-1143.
- 5. Greene NV, Kenworthy KE, Quesenberry KH, Unruh JB and Sartain JB 2008. Diversity and relatedness of common carpet grass germplasm. Crop Science **48**: 2298-2304.
- 6. Holland JB 1997. Oat improvement. In: Crop improvement for the 21st century (MS Kang ed). Research Signpost, Trivandrum, Kerala, India, pp 57-98.
- 7. Locatelli AB, Federizzi LC, Milach SCK and McElroy AR 2008. Flowering time in oat: genotype characterization for photo period and vernalization response. Field Crops Research **106**: 242-247.
- 8. Mariot MP, Sereno MJCM, Federizzi LC and Carvalho FIF 1999. Heranca da estatura de planta e do comprimento da panicula principal no cruzamento entre *Avena sativa* L. Avena sterilis. Pesquisa Agropecu ria Brasileira **34:** 77-82.

For the total number of the tillers evaluation is conducted in three categories and dominates the category, intermediate tiller with 18 genotypes or 56.25%, followed by the category of high tiller with 9 genotypes or 28.13% and low tiller are 5 genotypes or 15.16%.

This indicatoris particularly importantinthe jobselection to separate thegenotypesaccording to the purposeofcultivation, grain (concentrate), fodder, or for both grain and fodder purposes.

- Martinelli JA, Chaves MS, Federizzi LC and Salvi V 2009. Expressao da resistencia parcial a ferrugem da folha da aveia presente na linhagem MN841801, no ambiente do Sul do Brasil.
- 10. Ci ncia Rural 39: 1335-1342.
- 11. Nava IC, Lima Duarte ITD, Pacheco MT and Federizzi LC 2010. Genetic control of agronomic traits in an oat population of recombinant lines. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology **10**: 305-311.
- 12. Oliveira PH, Federizzi LC, Milach SCK, Gotuzzo CC and Sawasato JT 2005. Inheritance in oat
- 13. (Avena sativa L) of tolerance to soil aluminum toxicity. Crop Breeding and Applied
- 14. Biotechnology **5:** 301-308.
- 15. Peterson DM, Wesenberg DM, Burrup DE and Erickson CA 2005. Relationships among
- 16. agronomic traits and grain composition in oat genotypes grown in different environments.
- 17. Crop Science **45(4)**: 1249-1255.
- 18. Rines HW, Molnar SJ, Tinker NA and Phillips RL 2006. Oats. In: Cereals and millets: genome
- 19. mapping and molecular breeding in plants (C Kole ed). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, New York,
- 20. pp 211-242.
- 21. Stuthman DD 1995. Oat breeding and genetics. In: The oat crop: production and utilization (RW
 - 22. Welch ed). Chapman and Hall, London, pp 150-176.