RESEARCH ARTICLE

(Open Access)

Effect of Light Intensity on Chlorophyll Fluorescence in Olive Plants – Application of mPEA Fluorometer.

EMILIA ÇAÇI¹

¹Departament of Physics, University "Ismail Qemali" Vlora, Albania

Abstract

Light is one of the basic ecological physics factors, determining not only the possibility for plants existence but also the physiological state of their photosynthetic apparatus. Applying simultaneous measurements of the kinetics of prompt fluorescence, delayed fluorescence and modulated 820 nm reflection we investigated the response of the photosynthetic apparatus when changing the light intensities $(300 - 4000 \,\mu\text{mol})$ photons m-² s-¹) on olive plant leaves. We describe the experimental approaches to studying the state of the photosynthetic apparatus and ways to study important structural and functional parameters, such as the quantum efficiency of the electronic flow in the Photo System II, the Photo System I and the electron transport chain between the two photo-systems; the concentration of the active reaction centers of Photo System II; the electronic capacity of the electronic transport chain; as well the total parameter that characterizes the productivity in photosynthetic apparatus initial reactions.

Keywords: photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence, prompt fluorescence, delayed fluorescence, JIP test, light intensity.

1. Introduction

In our approach, the derivation of links between the energetic behavior of the photosynthetic apparatus and the fluorescence signals is based on the 'Theory of Energy Fluxes in Biomembranes' [26,27]. The theory was formulated in a general way, so that it could be applied to any pigment assembly in any type of biomembrane. It has been mainly applied to studies in higher plants and algae, on energy exchange within and between Photo System II (PhS) units, as well as from PhS II to PhS I.

The methodological framework of the energy flux theory permits the rigorous definition of all terms often used in the analysis of energy distribution in the photosynthetic apparatus (flux, yield, probability and rate constants of excitation energy transfer). The theory introduces and defines five basic and distinct quantities related to any pigment system (see scheme Figure 1 and [29] and postulates that, for any possible complex arrangement of interconnected pigment systems, their energetic communication can be expressed by simple equations, easily solved analytically, in terms of the five basic quantities.

Simultaneous chlorophyll (Chl) a fluorescence and 820 nm transmission measurements have provided experimental evidence that the three phases (i.e. O-J, J-I and I-P) of the prompt fluorescence rise OJIP [13] reflect three different reduction processes of the electron transport chain [28]. Following a dark-tolight transition of a photosynthetic sample, prompt fluorescence (PF) is emitted and during light-to-dark transition, delayed fluorescence (DF) emission is detected. DF was discovered by Strehler and Arnold. It is mainly emitted from PhS II, and PhS I contributes very little to the DF emission. PF depends on the redox state of the PhS II reaction centers (RC); however the DF in a time range from several microseconds to milliseconds, after light excitation, reflect the recombination, in the dark, between the reduced primary electron acceptor QA⁻ and the oxidized donor (P680⁺) of PhS II that are formed after light-induced charge separation [29]. DF has components that decay in very different time domains.

It is considered that the emission spectra of the prompt chlorophyll fluorescence and delayed fluorescence emission are essentially identical. The intensity of DF depends directly on the rate of

^{*}Corresponding author: Emilia Çaçi; E-mail: emilichachi@yahoo.com (Accepted for publication December 15, 2017) *ISSN: 2218-2020*, © *Agricultural University of Tirana*

backward electron transport reactions in the RC of PhS II. The shape of the DF induction curve depends on the sample type and its physiological state; further, DF induction curve depends on the kinetic components of DF being measured.

It is known that if the light intensity absorbed by the photosynthetic pigments is too high, all the absorbed energy can not be used in the process of photosynthesis. When the absorption rate of light quanta significantly exceeds the possibility of using their energy for photosynthesis, a condition called light stress occurs in plants. As a result of light stress, functional disturbances of photosynthetic reactions (photo inhibition) and even damage to the photosynthetic apparatus can occur [20]. There are many mechanisms for protecting the reactions of the light phase of photosynthesis and the structure of the thylakoids (mainly the PhS II complex). Plants can themselves protect from light stress and photoinhibition and thus avoid damage caused by excess radiation [18], but may also prevent excessive phage, or restore [1,2,3,14]. Numerous studies showing that PhS II plays an important role in protecting the photosynthetic apparatus [4,25].

Energy cascade: energy fluxes, bifurcations and energy conservation efficiencies	Definition of events and corresponding energy fluxes	Definition of quantum yields & efficiencies	Correlation with fluorescence signals
ABS	<u>Abs</u> orption by PSII antenna pigments	$\phi_{Pt} = \Delta F_t / F_M$ = $\frac{TR_t}{ABS}$ = 1 actual quantum yield at any time	$-\frac{\mathbf{F}_{t}}{\mathbf{F}_{M}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{Pt} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{Po} (1 - \mathbf{V}_{t})$ t (according to Paillotin [21])
$\begin{array}{c c} Cnl^{*} \\ ABS-TR \\ (1-\phi_{P}) \end{array} TR \\ \phi_{P} \\ \phi$	<u>Tr</u> apping (primary photochemistry): reducing Pheo and Q _A	$\phi_{P_0} = \phi_{P_0} \qquad \qquad = \frac{TR_0}{ABS} = 1$	$-\frac{F_0}{F_M} = \phi_{P_0} = \phi_{P_0} (1 - V_0)$
$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{TR} \cdot \mathbf{ET} \\ \mathbf{TR} \cdot \mathbf{ET} \\ (1 \cdot \psi_{\mathrm{E}}) \end{array} \mathbf{ET} \\ \mathbf{FT} \\ $	Electron <u>T</u> ransport after Q_A^- to intersystem electron acceptors (and other pathways)	$\varphi_{Eo} = \varphi_{Po} \cdot \psi_{Eo} \qquad = \frac{ET_0}{ABS} = 1$	$-\frac{\mathbf{F}_{J}}{\mathbf{F}_{M}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{Pj} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{Po} \left(1 - \mathbf{V}_{J}\right)$
$\begin{array}{c c} U_{B} FQ Cyt FC (X) \\ ET-RE \\ (1-\delta_{R}) \end{array} \qquad RE \\ \delta_{R} \psi_{R} \\ \phi_{R} \end{array}$	<u>R</u> eduction of <u>E</u> nd acceptors (at PSI electron acceptor side)	$\phi_{Ro} = \phi_{Po} \psi_{Eo} \delta_{Ro} = \frac{RE_0}{ABS} = 1$	$-\frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{I}}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M}}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{Pi}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{Po}} \left(1 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$
$PI_{ABS} = \frac{\gamma_{RC}}{1 - \gamma_{RC}} \cdot \frac{\phi_{P_0}}{1 - \phi_{P_0}} \cdot \frac{\psi_{E_0}}{1 - \psi_{E_0}} = \frac{RC}{ABS} \cdot \frac{TR_0}{ABS - TR_0} \cdot \frac{ET_0}{TR_0 - ET_0}$ $PI_{total} = PI_{ABS} \cdot \frac{\delta_{R_0}}{1 - \delta_{R_0}} = PI_{ABS} \cdot \frac{RE_0}{ET_0 - RE_0}$ $= \frac{ET_0}{TR_0} = \frac{(F_M - F_1)}{(F_M - F_1)} = \frac{(1 - V_1)}{(1 - V_1)}$			
The specific energy fluxes (fluxes/RC) are the products of quantum yields (fluxes/ABS) and ABS/RC, experimentally determined as: $\frac{ABS}{RC} = \frac{TR_0/RC}{TR_0/ABS} \text{where} \frac{TR_0}{RC} = \frac{(\Delta F/\Delta t)_0}{F_J - F_0} = \frac{4(F_{300\mu s} - F_0)}{F_J - F_0}$		m The relative variable fluorescence V _t is defined as: $V_t = \frac{F_t - F_0}{F_M - F_0}$	The total electron carriers (EC ₀) per RC is defined as: $\frac{EC_0}{RC} = \frac{Area}{F_M - F_0}$

In this study light intensities effects in olive plants was obtained in vivo, using three signals- PF, DF and modulated reflection (MR) 820 nm, measured simultaneously. We have shown that simultaneous measurement of PF, DF and MR is an important tool to characterize the effect of actinic light intensity on photosynthetic systems and can be used as a tool to monitor these changes induced in the photosynthetic membranes.

2. Material and Methods

Plant material

In this paper we studied olive seedlings grown in the conditions of natural solar lighting in Albania. It is known that growing in bright light helps plants

Figure 1: A schematic presentation of the JIP-test (by Reto J. Strasser). For details see Material and methods section. In this study light intensities effects in olive was obtained in vivo, using three signals- PF, and modulated reflection (MR) 820 nm, measured mainly to protect the photosynthetic apparatus.

> Olea europea L. sativa, "Kalinjot" and "Ulliri i holle i Himares" seedlings, autochthonous cultivars, grown in nature, were collected from the Center for Agricultural Technologies Transfer, Vlore and transferred to the laboratorys of Department of Biophysics, Faculty of Biology, University of Sofia "Kliment Ohridski", where they continued to grow stored in air temperature 22-25 oC, day/night mode 12: 12h and luminescent lighting with intensity 250 μ mol h . m⁻²s⁻¹.

> The Multifunctional Plant Efficiency Analyser m-PEA

Experiments were carried out with mPEA fluorimeter in vivo on unbroken leaves. All measurements were conducted on dark-adapted for 1 h plants. Working head held on a flexible tripod, is placed over the upper surface of the selected leaf and the photosynthetic parameters, JIP transients are recorded. Depending on the experiments purpose, using the m-PEA Protocol Editor, the operator configures preliminarily the measurements protocol. The system is controlled from a comprehensive Windows® software package (m-PEA⁺) which allows complex experiments to be designed, uploaded and executed by the m-PEA hardware. Recorded data is quickly downloaded to the software via a USB2.0 connection.

In the m-PEA instrument emitter wavelength ranges are: 627610 nm, for the actinic light LED; 820625 nm, for the modulated light LED, and 735615 nm, for the far-red light LED; the latter uses a RG9 long pass filter to remove any visible light component [12,28]. The actinic light LED is built into the centre of the optical sensor unit and focused into the sample surface to provide homogeneous illumination over the exposed circular area (2 mm diameter), with an intensity of 5000 μ mol h m⁻² s⁻¹ at 100%. The other emitters and detectors are built on the periphery of the unit. The data acquisition for the three signals, PF and MR in the light, and DF in the dark, is every 0.01 ms in the digitalization range 1 (0.01-0.3 ms), every 0.1 ms in range 2 (0.3-3 ms), every 1 ms in range 3 (3-30 ms), and then decreases until range 7 (30-300 s), where the data acquisition is every 10 s.

During one measure, the measuring cycle includes light and dark periods in the ratio of 3:1. During the cycle, the PF is measured when the actinic light is on and DF is recorded when the light is off.

Simultaneous Measurements of the Kinetics of Prompt Fluorescence, Delayed Fluorescence and Modulated 820 nm Reflection

The typical polyphasic O-J-I-P curve of the increase in chlorophyll fluorescence is shown in Figure 2. The fluorescence rise up to the J-step provides information about single turnover events of the primary reactions of photochemistry, mainly QA reduction [13]. During the time interval from 2 to 200 ms, multiple charge separation occurs and the redox components of the electron transport chain become reduced [28]. The I-P phase is related to PhS I activity. For modulated reflection signals the first reliable MR measurement was at 0.3 ms. The MR decrease exhibits photoinduced oxidation of P700 and accumulation of P700⁺ and PC⁺ until about 20 ms, this accumulation is in the range of the J-I phase of PF. Subsequently, the MR increase exhibits re-reduction of both P700⁺ and PC⁺ by the intersystem electrons in the range of the I-P phase of PF [28].



Figure 2: Kinetics (induction curves) of prompt and delayed fluorescence (PF and DF, in different a.u.; left vertical axis) and modulated 820 nm reflection (MR; right vertical axis) induced by a 30 s pulse of strong red actinic light (627 nm peak, 5000 μ mol photons m-2 s-1) measured simultaneously with M-PEA and plotted on logarithmic time scale from 20 μ s to 30 s (JIP-time). The modulated reflection signals are expressed by the MR/MR0 ratio, where MR0 is the value at the onset of the actinic illumination (taken at 0.7 ms, the first reliable MR measurement). The DF induction curves (DF vs. JIP-time) were constructed from the kinetics of DF vs. delay-time recorded during the dark interruptions of the actinic light; each of them plots the DF intensity (a.u.) at a certain delay-time-point (indicated by the colour/symbol code) vs. the JIP-time at which the dark interval started. Hence, a vertical line cutting the DF induction curves at any JIP-time (like the three black

dashed lines in the plot) expresses (in one dimension) the kinetics of DF vs. delay-time in the dark interval that starts at the corresponding JIP-time. Characteristic points of the DF vs. JIP-time curves, i.e., the peak I1 (at 7 ms), the shoulder I2 (at 100 ms) and I3 (taken at 1 s, in the plateau) are marked with open circles on the DF0.02ms (DF at 0.02 ms delay-time point) curve and labelled. Open circles were also used to mark the O, J, I and P steps of the PF kinetics.

The DF induction curves, shown in Figure 2, are averaged DF values collected within different DF delay-time intervals during the dark period after interruptions of the actinic light (the analytical time within each dark interval, during which DF is recorded, was noted as delay-time). Energy level diagram for the PhS II-states participating in DF generation has been presented by Goltsev et al.. In the DF induction curve, two phases can be observed: the fast one until 200 ms includes the I₁ and I₂ peaks, and the slow one until several minutes [6].

The DF curve measured at 10-30 ms delay-time consists of a fast rise to a peak I_1 (at 7 ms), a subsequent decrease through I_2 (at about 100 ms), and I_3 , sometimes found at the end of the fast phase. In slow-decaying components, concomitant with the disappearance of the first two maxima (I_1 and I_2) in the induction curve, an appearance of the peak I_3 was observed, and this is mainly due to the slow millisecond components of DF. Goltsev et al. [6] suggested that the I_1 maximum is a result of the rise of

the transmembrane electrical gradient and of the accumulation of RCs with semi-reduced QB ($Z^+P680QAQB_2$), while I₂ was associated with the increase of $Z^+P680QA_2QB_2$ states during PQ pool reduction. Zaharieva et al. [23] reported that the I₂ maximum was probably related to the prolonged reopening of PhS II RCs by the electron transfer from the reduced QB to PQ before the reduction of the PQ pool. They suggest that the relative size of this maximum increases with the decrease of the size of the PhS II antenna and when the measuring temperature is increased.

In Figure 2, I_1 is between the J-step towards the I-step of the PF curve and in the oxidation phase of MR measurements and corresponds to the time of decrease of MR (7-10 ms). I_2 appeared in the range of the I-P phase of the PF and the re-reduction phase of MR measurements. I_4 appeared during the decline of the PF curve. The three latest DF induction curves (DF vs. JIP-time) the peaks I_1 and I_2 disappeared.



Figure 3: Visualization through the m-PEA-data-analyzer V.5.

In Figure 3 shows the direct view of the PF curves obtained from m-PEA during the measurements on the olive leaves (protocol: PF-1s 0300 μ E DF - single MR-100). The series is taken during the varies of light intensity 300, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000 and 4000 μ E.

A quantitative analysis of the O-J-I-P transient has been introduced [31] and further developed called as the 'JIP-test' after the basic steps of the transient, by which several selected phenomenological and biophysical- structural and functional-parameters quantifying the PhS II behavior are calculated. The JIP-test, has proven a very useful tool for the in vivo investigation of the adaptive behavior of the photosynthetic apparatus and, especially, of PhS II to a wide variety and combination of stressors, as it translates the shape changes of the OJ-I-P transient to quantitative changes of the selected parameters [29]. Hence, the JIP-test can provide an access to the 'vitality' of a photosynthetic sample [33].

The possibilities to obtain information on the condition of the photosynthetic apparatus (PhSA) from the shape of the induction curve are summarized in [30].

3. Results and Discussion

The purpose of this work was to study the reaction of the photosynthetic apparatus of olive plant, influenced by the intensity of actinic light. If the light intensity absorbed by the photosynthetic pigments is too high, all the absorbed energy can not be used in the process of photosynthesis. When the absorption rate of light quanta significantly exceeds possibility of using their energy the for photosynthesis, a condition called light stress occurs in plants. As a result of light stress occur functional disturbances in photosynthetic reactions (photoinhibition) even and damage to the photosynthetic apparatus can occur. Under conditions of low light intensity, most of the absorbed light energy can be used in the process of photosynthesis (due to the high efficiency of the photosynthetic apparatus), while at the same time only a small fraction of the FAR is used with high intensity [15]. Growing in bright light helps plants adapt to excess light energy and develop different acclimatization adaptation mechanisms that serves mainly to protect the photosynthetic apparatus.

We wanted to trace the plant cell's response to alter its features so as to ensure its optimal functioning under the new conditions (changed light intensities). For this, the leaves of olive plants were subjected to a change in light intensities and are recorded the induction curves of chlorophyll fluorescence from which were calculated the parameters of the JIP test, and MR₈₂₀ and DF are recorded simultaneously.

In the present study is evaluate the effect of actinic light intensity (625 nm) on the PhSA in the olive leaves for induction transition from different time intervals. A dark adaptation was made in 1 hour. The intensities used are: 300, 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 μ mol h .m⁻².s⁻¹.

In Figure 4/a are presented the induction transitions of PF, registered in the range of 0,01 ms to 1000 ms and Figure 4/b the induction curves (IC) of PF, recalculated as relative variable fluorescence (V_t). The dependence of induction transition from light intensity is easily noticeable. With the his decrease, the induction curves lose its characteristic stepped shape, the values of the respective phases (J, I, P) decrease and the time to reach them increases (see F_P and the time at which occurs the t(F_P) in Figure 4/c and 4/d). It should be noted that the registered maximum fluorescence (F_P) is equal to the maximum reached (F_M) at 100% closed reaction centers (RC) only at an intensity 1500 µmol h .m⁻².s⁻¹.

The light intensity strongly affects most parameters of the JIP test (Figure 4/c):

ABS/RC = $M_0 (1/V_J) (1/P_0)$ - the energy flux absorbed by one active reaction center (RC); reflects the ratio between the number of molecules of Chl a in antenna complexes emitting fluorescence, and in active reaction centers;

 $TR_0/RC = M_0 (1/V_J)$ - the excitation energy flux captured by one active reaction center (RC) at the initial moment of illumination of the object adapted to darkness, that is, at t = 0;

 $ET_0/RC = M_0 (1/V_J)_0$ - the flux of electrons transported through one active reaction center (RC) at t = 0;

 $RE_0/RC = M_0 (1/V_J) (1 - V_I)$ - the flux of electrons transported through one active reaction center (RC) and reducing the outer acceptors on the acceptor side of the PhS I, at t = 0;

 $DI_0/RC = (ABS/RC) - (TR_0/RC)$ - the total energy dissipated by one reaction center (RC) in the form of heat, fluorescence, or transfer to another photo system, at t = 0.



Figure 4: Effect of luminous intensity on PhSA in olive leaf. Dark-adapted for 1 h. olive leaves are illuminated with red actinic light with an intensity from 300 to 4000 µmol h $.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$. Presented: a) IC of F_t a.u., Prompt Fluorescence (PF) at time *t* after onset of actinic illumination; b) IC of relative variable fluorescence, $V_t = (F_t - F_0) / F_V$, where F_t is the value at the respective time of registration, F_0 - the initial and F_V - the variable fluorescence; c) V_t , rel.u.; d) PF parameters from the JIP-test relative to the values at 4000 µmol h $.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$.

As the intensity increases, the specific energy fluxes (ABS/RC, TR_0/RC , ET_0/RC and DI_0/RC) as well as the RC density (RC/CS₀) increase, whiles RE₀/RC and the probability, given chlorophyll molecule of PhS II to function as RC(), decreases.

From the quantum yield of the electron transport from PQ to electron transport chain (ETCh) ($_{Ro}$), end acceptors it is clear, that light-dependent reactions are most effective in the weakest illumination - 300 µmol h .m⁻².s⁻¹. This intensity falls within the ecological norms. During the day, the quality and amount of photosynthetic active radiation vary widely, and the plants try to find the balance

between the absorbed radiation energy and the photosynthetic apparatus. Intensities greater than 2000 μ mol h .m⁻².s⁻¹ are rarely observed in nature, but due to the fact that they quickly and effectively provide complete reduction of transporters in ETCh of PhSA, they are preferred in conducting fluorescence experiments with plants. The quantum yields of the primary photochemical reaction (PhChR) in the RC of PhS II ($_{Po}$) and the reduction of PQ by Q_A ($_{Eo}$) are less influential.

The changes in MR 820 are shown in Figure 4/e. Analyzing the decline in the first part of the IC (up to 30 ms) it is noticed that the stronger the

luminous flux, the faster and more P700⁺ accumulate (Figure 4/f and Table 2). This linear dependence is explained by the fact that the signal in the initial time interval reflects only the PhChR in PhS I. For this period, the electrons from PhS II have not yet passed through ETCh and reduction of accumulation P_{700} + was not observed. The level of oxidized RC (P_{700}^+) reaches a maximum in the minimum of IC of MR₈₂₀. Then the system is in steady state, ie. the photooxidation and reduction rates are aligned. The latter is determined by the redox condition of the PQ-pool and after its complete reduction (Phase I of IC of PF) begins to exceed the rate of oxidation. It becomes determinant for the ascending move of the MR₈₂₀ signal through the second portion of its induction curve. From the slope of linear fits built into the corresponding part of the curve, the rates of the redox reactions of P_{700} in derived units per second (V_{ox} and V_{red} in Figure 4/f) can be calculated. Since the second

part of the IC gives information on the action of two simultaneous running opposite process, the resulting rate of reduction is seemingly (effective) rather than real. Its function of illumination is again linear but only up to 1000 μ mol h m⁻².s⁻¹, where reached the inflection point after which V_{ox}'s dependence on intensity becomes stronger than V_{red}'s dependence.

An analogous dependence is observed for $_{PO}$, indica- ting that the PhChR of the P_{680} , i.e. linear electron transport from PhS II determines the rate of reduction of P_{700}^{+} .

Figure 4/g (left and right), it is possible to compare the shape of the IC of the DF, which mainly characterize micro- second and milisecond of kinetic component respect-tively. The ratio of characteristic peaks are changing with different illumination. Increasing the intensity the ratio I_1/I_2 increases, which is related to the increase of the flux

Table 2. Oxidation rates of P_{700} (V_{ox}) and reduction of P_{700}^{++} (V_{red}).





Figure 4 (continued): Effect of luminous intensity on PhSA in olive leaf. Dark-adapted for 1 h. olive leaves are illuminated with red actinic light with an intensity from 300 to 4000 µmol h $.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$. Presented: e) Modulated scattering at 820 nm (MR₈₂₀ rel.u.), normalized to the average value between 0.1-0.3ms; f) Oxidation rates of P₇₀₀ (V_{ox}) and reduction of P₇₀₀⁺ (V_{red}), obtained from the slope of straight, approximating the MR₈₂₀ signal over a given time interval. They are compared to the quantum efficiency of the primary photochemical reaction at the RC of PhS II ($_{P0}$); g) IC of delayed fluorescence (DF), (F_t .u.), recorded in the range µs (left) and ms (right); The curves at low intensities (<1000 µmol h $.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$) of the DF were not plotted due to the low signal/noise ratio, which impedes the correct calculation of the respective kinetic components.

4. Conclusions

The photosynthetic apparatus in higher plants responds sensitive to changes in environmental conditions. The relation of plants to light conditions predetermines the physiological state of their photosynthetic apparatus.

The influence of the intensity of actinic light on an olive is shown by light curves of the three signals and parameters of PF and MR₈₂₀ in Figure 4. The quantum efficiency of the reduction of the ultimate acceptors in PhS I (Ro) is highest in the weakest illumination. At the same time, the efficiency of the PhChR in PhS II (_{Po}) reaches a plateau at 2000 µmol h.m.m⁻².s⁻¹. The results are in agreement with the physiologically significant values of the luminous intensity, changing during the day and the year. 300 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ are fully sufficient for the Calvin-Benson cycle, i.e., to cover the anabolic needs of the plant, while 2000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ is an extreme value at the Sun's zenith during the summer months. The use of higher than 1500 μ mol h m⁻² s⁻¹ intensities is mandatory when applying the JIP - test, the experimenter should

then be certain that a real maximum (FP = FM) is reached.

From the different dependencies of the P_{700} (V_{ox}) oxidation rate, linear, and ${P_{700}}^+$ (V_{red}) reduction, which

follows the P_{Po} course, it is clear that both correspond to different processes. The first one shows

the influence only of PhChR in PhS I and the second one is determined and by the photo-oxidation of P_{700} and from its re-reduction by the electrons coming from the PhS II. If PhS I is not inhibited by high intensities, cyclic electron transport may be considered to be triggered, which in turn mediates an increase in non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence.

The relation of the I_1/I_2 maxims of the IC of the DF confirms the observation for decreasing the flow of excitations to RC at low intensities.

The simultaneous measurement of the kinetics of prompt and delayed fluorescence and modulated 820 nm reflection is an informative method for assessing the dynamics of the reaction and the state of the plant. Applying the method, we track the state of PhSA at different moments and through the parameters of the JIP test, we analyze the reaction of the photosynthetic apparatus at a change in the actinic light intensities on the olive plants.

5. References

- 1. Asada K.: The water-water cycle as alternative photon and electron sinks, Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 355:1419-1431, 2000.
- 2. Asada K.: The water-water cycle in chloroplasts: scavenging of active oxygens

and dissipation of excwss photons, Annu Rev Plant Physiol Mol Biol 50: 601-639, 1999.

- Baena-GonzalezE, Barbato R, Aro E-M.: Role of phosphorylatiom in the repair cycle and oligomeric structure of Photosystem II, Planta 208, 196-201, 1999.
- Force L, Critchley C, Rensen JJS: New fluorescence parameters for monitoring photosynthesis in plants. Photosynth. Res. 78:17-33, 2003.
- Genty B., Briantais J.M., N.R. Baker: The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence; Biochim. Biophys. Acta 990 (1989) 87–92.
- Goltsev V., Zaharieva I., Chernev P., Strasser R.J.: Delayed fluorescence in photosynthesis; Photosynth. Res. 101 (2009) 217–232.
- 7. Goltsev V., Kalaji, M.H., Kuzmanova . ., Allakhverdiev S.I. (2014): Prompt and delayed fluorescence of chlorophyll a theoretical foundations and practical application in the study of plants.; - .-

, -220 .

- Goltsev V., Yordanov I., Gurmanova M., Kouzmanova M., Dambov Sh., Ap st lova S., Savova G., Strasser R.J.: Multifunctional plant efficiency analyzer m-PEA used to describe the physiological states of the photosynthetic apparatus; Academic Publishing House of the Agricultural University, Volume II Issue 4, Plovdiv 2010, 14-24.
- Govindjee: A Role for a Light-harvesting Antenna Complex of Photosytem II in Photoprotection (Editorial). Plant Cell 14: 1663-1668, 2002.
- Gurmanova M., Goltsev V., Kouzmanova M., Stefanov D., Strasser R.J.: Delayed chlorophyll fluorescence as a measure for evaluation of adaptation of plants to environmental light conditions; Reports from the Jubilee Scientific Conference "Bulgaria and Bulgarians in Europe" Union of Scientists in Bulgaria, Veliko Tarnovo, 2010, 394-403.

- Kalaji M.H., Goltsev V., Bresti M., Bosa K., Allakhverdiev S.I., Strasser R.J., and Govindjee: In Vivo Measurements of Light Emission in Plants, Open questions and what we know today; volume 1, Moscow, 2014.
- Kalaji HM, Goltsev V, Bosa K, Allakhverdiev SI, Strasser RJ, et al. (2012): Experimental in vivo measurements of light emission in plants: a perspective dedicated to David Walker. Photosynth Res 114: 69–96, 2012.
- Kautsky H., Hirsch A.: Neue Versuche zur Kohlensäuereassimilation; Naturwissenshaften 48 (1931) 964.
- Kozaki A.&Takeba G.: Photorespiration protects C3 plants from Photooxidation, Nature 384, 557-560, 1996.
- [Long SP, Humphries S, Folkowski PG: Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature, Annu rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 45:633-662, 1994.
- 16. Marcus R.A., J. Chem. Phys. 43, 679 ~1965
- 17. Marcus, R. A. and Sutin, N.: Electron transfers in chemistry and biology; Biochim. Biophys (1985).
- 18. Mulkey SS, Pearcy RW: Internations between acclimation and photoinhibition of photosynthesis of a tropical understorey herb Alocasia macrorrhiza during simulated canopy gap formation, Funct Ecol 6:719-729, 1992.
- 19. Papageorgiou G.C., Govindjee (Eds.):
 Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration Series (Govindjee – Series Editor), Chlorophyll a Fluorescence: a Signature of Photosynthesis, vol. 19, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2004.
- Prasil O., Suggett D.J., Cullen J.J., Babin M. & Govindjee: Chlorophyll fluorescence in aquatic sciences, an international conference held in Nové Hrady. Photosynthesis Research 95, 111–115, 2008.
- 21. Robert E.: Blankenship Molecular Mechanisms of Photosynthesis; 2014.
- 22. Rubin A.B.: **Teoricheskaya Biofozoka:** Tom1,2; Hardcover 2004.
- 23. Schansker G., Tóth S.Z., Strasser R.J.: Methylviologen and dibromothymoquinone treatments of pea leaves reveal the role of photosystem I in the Chl a fluorescence rise

OJIP, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1706 (2005) 250–261.

- 24. Schansker G., Srivastava A., Govindjee, Strasser R.J.: Characterization of 820 nm transmission induction curves in pea leaves: kinetic separation between plastocyanin and P700 contributions, Funct. Plant Biol. 30 (2003) 1–10.
- 25. Schreiber U.: Detection of rapid induction kinetics with a new type of high-frequency modulated chlorophyll fluorometer. Photosynth Res. 1986;9:261– 272. doi: 10.1007/BF00029749.
- Strasser RJ: The grouping model of plant photosynthesis. In: Akoyunoglou G (ed) Chloroplast Development, pp 513– 524.Elsevier/North Holland, 1978.
- 27. Strasser RJ: The grouping model of plant photosynthesis: heterogeneity of photosynthetic units in thylakoids. In: Akoyunoglou G (ed) Photosynthesis III. Structure and Molecular Organisation of the Photosynthetic Apparatus, pp 727–737. Balaban International Science Services, Philadelphia, 1981.
- 28. Strasser R.J., Srivastava A., Govindjee:
 Polyphasic chlorophyll a fluorescence transient in plants and cyanobacteria; Photochem. Photobiol. 61 (1995) 32–42.
- 29. Strasser R.J., Tsimilli-Michael, Srivastava A., (2001): Analysis of the chlorophyll a fluorescence transient; in: Papageorgiou G.C., Govindjee (Eds.), Advances in

PhotosynthesisandRespirationSeries(Govindjee – Series Editor),Chlorophyll aFluorescence:aSignatureofPhotosynthesis,vol. 19,Springer,Dordrecht,The Netherlands,2004,pp. 321–362.

- 30. Strasser R.J., Tsimilli-Michael, Qiang Sh., Goltsev V.: Simultaneous in vivo recording of prompt and delayed fluorescence and 820-nm reflection changes during drying and after rehydration of the resurrection plant Haberlea rhodopensis; Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1797 (2010) 1313–1326.
- **31.** Strasser RJ, Srivastava A: How do land plants respond to stress temperature and stress light/ Stress and stress management of land plants during a regular day. Archs Sci Gene`ve 48: 135–146, 1995.
- 32. Tsimilli-Michael, Strasser R.J.: Experimental resolution and theoretical complexity determine the amount of information extractable from the chlorophyll fluorescence transient OJIP; in: Allen J.F., Gantt E., Golbeck J.H., Osmond B.(Eds.), Photosynthesis. Energy from the sun: 14th International Congress on Photosynthesis, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2008, pp. 697-701.
- 33. Tsimilli-Michael M, Pêcheux M and Strasser RJ: Vitality and stress adaptation of the symbionts of coral reef and temperate foraminifers probed *in hospite* by the fluorescence kinetics O-J-I-P. Archs Sci Genève 51: 1–36, 1998.